



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/026,342	12/21/2001	Darrell K. Cox	TI-29876	8123
23494 7	590 08/24/2004		EXAM	INER
	RUMENTS INCOR	DILDINE JR, R STEPHEN		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2133	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/026,342	COX, DARRELL K.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	R. Stephen Dildine	2133
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatir - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory I - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma on. , a reply within the statutory minimum o period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becon	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. te ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al	•	• •
closed in accordance with the practice un	der Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 Feb. 2002</u> is/ar	e: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ o	bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abo	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		· · ·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		een received in this National Stage
application from the International B		not received
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a not of the certified copies	iot received.
Attachment/c)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervi	ew Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	8) Paper	No(s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	B/08) 5) ☐ Notice 6) ☐ Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200408

Application/Control Number: 10/026,342

Art Unit: 2133

Claims 1-18 are allowed.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

Drawings

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because figures 3b, 4a,b, c, and 5 (filed 20 Feb., 2002) do not comply with the requirement of § 1.84(l) which states "Character of lines, numbers, and letters. All drawings must be made by a process which will give them satisfactory reproduction characteristics. Every line, number, and letter must be durable, clean, black (except for color drawings), sufficiently dense and dark, and uniformly thick and well-defined. The weight of all lines and letters must be heavy enough to permit adequate reproduction. This requirement applies to all lines however fine, to shading, and to lines representing cut surfaces in sectional views. Lines and strokes of different thicknesses may be used in the same drawing where different thicknesses have a different meaning" (see US 2003/0126542 A1 for example). Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show figure 5a as described in the specification at page 11, line 1. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/026,342

Art Unit: 2133

does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Applicant's "BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS" is objected to for failure to provide a brief description of Figure 4c. See MPEP 608.01(g) and 37 C.F.R. 1.74.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fujita et al., at column 53, lines 8-12 introduces Vandermonde matrices into decoding a Reed-Solomon code, however, Fujita et al. doesn't triangularize the Vandermonde matrices as in all of applicant's claims. Fischer et al. shows, in Figure 4, a Vandermonde matrix for error correction coding, however, Fischer et al. doesn't triangularize the Vandermonde matrix as in all of applicant's claims. Citron et al. shows the triangularization of a Hankel matrix (see column 7, lines 14-40) in decoding Reed-Solomon codes, however, as seen from the cited definitions from MathWorld, a Hankel matrix is not equivalent to applicant's claimed Vandermonde matrix. The cited Derwent Information Ltd. research disclosure document (RD 341,004 A) discloses using a Vandermonde matrix in Reed-Solomon coding, however, this document fails to disclose triangularization of a Vandermonde matrix as in all of applicant's claims. Berlekamp shows Reed-Solomon decoding in general but fails to disclose triangularization of a Vandermonde matrix as in all of applicant's claims. Justensen shows a modification of Euclid's algorithm to decode a Reed-Solomon code, but again, triangularization of a Vandermonde matrix is not shown. Xu shows (at Eq. (9) for example) Vandermonde parity check matrices in Reed-Solomon decoding, but these Vandermonde matrices are not triangularized.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. Stephen Dildine whose telephone number is 703-305-5524. The examiner can normally be reached on M, Tu, Th, F 5:55 am to 4:25 pm.

Art Unit: 2133

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 703-305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Stephen Dildine

R. Stephen Dildine Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133